

## Initial Submission Scorecard

Applicant ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Submission Title/Description:

---

We've asked candidates to showcase their ability to embrace and understand complex challenges and share their thinking and problem-solving skills. As the judge of this applicants submission, please consider:

|   | Impression Rating (1-5) | If necessary, describe evidence supporting your rating: | In your estimation, what could have been done for the submission to have scored higher in this criteria? |
|---|-------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Clear communication style displayed                              |                         |   |  |
| 2. Attempt at considering many facets of a problem were articulated |                         |   |  |
| 3. Ability to navigate ambiguity was displayed                      |                         |   |  |
| 4. Novel approach to storytelling demonstrated                      |                         |   |  |
| 5. Clear interest in systems level thinking showcased               |                         |   |  |
| 6. Interest in learning more about the candidate                    |                         |   |  |
| <b>Totals</b>   | <b>/30</b>              |   |  |

Note: The following numbers relate to perceived aptitude:

(5- Excellent display of criteria, 4- Good display of criteria, 3- Fair display of criteria, 2- Poor display of criteria, 1- Unacceptable display of criteria.)

Has the submission clearly explained:

The problem they are addressing

Why this problem is important to them

An articulation of a process

Impact of the project

Lessons learned or to be learned

What role would this candidate be most successful in?

Designer

Researcher

Journalist

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

What would help this candidate be most successful in the role?

If this candidate progresses to the next round, what might be a stumbling block for this candidate that we need to further understand?